)

)

)

)

Ronald Charles Vrooman General delivery Beaverton, Oregon [97005] 503 641 8374 ronvrooman38@gmail.com 3;17 cv 977ac

- On Oregon IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT aka d(D)istrict c(C) ourt of the 1
- 2 United States FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON seeking jurisdiction and justice at 1000
- SW 3<sup>rd</sup> Ave, Portland in courthouse 740! Article III court of record, filed on demand. 3
- 4 Ronald Charles Vrooman )
- 5 Party for Plaintiff Pro se and
- 6 Ronald Charles Vrooman Private Attorney Generals by the United States Congress 42
- 7 U.S.C.1988 and 18 U.S.C.1510 and 18 U.S.C.
- 1512 and to be known as "One of the People" 8 also "Qualified Criminal Investigator" and
- 9 "Federal Witness" and by unrebutted affidavit.
- Status identified and unrebutted. Others to be 10 named and added later.
- 11 vrs.
- 12 Federal District Court Oregon, Michael
- 13 Mosman, Anna J. Brown, Michael McShane, Michael Simon, Youlee Yim You, John
- Acosta, Garr M. King, Stacie Beckerman
- ,others may be added; concerning case numbers 3;16 cv 0770 yy, 3;16 cv 1109 yy,
- 16 3;16 cr 00052 br, 3;17 cv 00138 ki, 3;17 cv 00602.mc, 3;16 cv 2187 ac, 3;17 cv 00744 sb,
- 17 3;17 cv 00547 mc. Washington County
- 18 Circuit Court, D. Charlie Bailey, Suzanne Upton, Rick Knapp, Oscar Garcia, Beth
- 19 Roberts case number D144354M; Oregon
- Appellate Court, Duncan, DeVore and 20
- Garrett (no first names supplied by them)
- Erika L. Hadlock, cases numbers A159740, 21 A164225; Oregon Supreme Court, Martha L.
- Waters case number S064694; Beaverton
- Municipal Court, Frank Ravelo, John Mercer, 23 Erin Kirkwood case number UC7945181
- 24 others may be added, such as: employees and officers of the court, city, county and state. If
- 25 these names are not exact they are close
- enough to identify the persons. 26

Defendants, party against

# FILED25 JUN 1714:18USDC-ORP

Case number: Α new case opened in Equity Court at 1000 SW 3<sup>rd</sup> Portland Hatfield Building. The claim is made. I deny the jurisdiction of any court that could not prove their claim. So, The same plantiff, party for in 3;17 cv 977 ac, Ronald Charles Vrooman trustee to the Private membership association PMA named RONALD CHARLES VROOMAN BRING ALL OF THESE CLAIMS TO THE EQUITY Article III court.

3'17 - CV = 990 -

Failure to provide due process and violations of inalienable, civil and human rights and RICO. All are violations of 18 USC 3571 and other codes, thus of my inalienable rights guaranteed by our Constitution. During the unlawful conduct in their courts and in their paperwork proof of and incontrovertible evidence in their own records of violation of governing law. SCOTUS case law is law for all inferior courts. Thus my/our rights as/for Oregonians, non US citizens, free inhabitants, and others as they are identified have been violated.

Ronald Charles Vrooman General delivery Beaverton, Oregon [97005] 503 641 8374

ronvrooman38@gmail.com

3:17 ev 977ac

27

- 28 It was the original intention to just sue the judges and courts listed. However, when
- 29 accompanying the servers at the several courts and interacting with employees of the various
- 30 corporate governances and unproven courts of record with unproven jurisdiction: it becomes
- 31 necessary to add this affidavit of truth to the original case.
- 32 Add these defendants and each has the claim with the name or description.
- 33 1st. Janett Cubias self identified deputy clerk of the courthouse at 740. Due process violation
- 34 2<sup>nd</sup> Eric Oss supervisor at courthouse 740. Due process violation
- 35 3<sup>rd</sup> Mary Moran clerk of court at 740. Due process violation
- 36 4<sup>th</sup> unknown clerks of court at 740. Due process violation.
- 37 5<sup>th</sup> Quinn Harrington attorney 6<sup>th</sup> floor AG/DOJ. due process violation.
- 38 6<sup>th</sup> Practices and procedures of this court will verify due process violations in each case filed..
- 39 7<sup>th</sup> unknown clerk of the circuit court Hillboro. Due process violation.
- 40 8<sup>th</sup> Corporal Wayne Mohler Washington County Sheriff due process violation
- 41 9<sup>th</sup> Mark Larson Deputy Washington county Sheriff Due process violation.
- 42 10<sup>th</sup> Richard Moellmer Court administrator circuit court Hillboro. Due process violation.
- 43 11th Kingsley Klick Court Adminstrator for state of Oregon in Salem. Due process violation.
- 44 12<sup>th</sup> Obstructing justice in an investigation.
- 45 13<sup>th</sup> I deny all defendant persons listed in 3;17 cv 977ac. their status. Due process violations. I
- have done all I can and they deny me lawful/legal input.
- 47 14<sup>th</sup> I deny that the Mark O. Hatfield Building at 1000 SW 3<sup>rd</sup> Ave. Portland is a lawfully, legally
- 48 constituted court in a lawfully, legally with deeds& titles and legislative authority, as determined
- 49 by the founding documents circa 1819 thru 1860.
- 50 15<sup>th</sup> I deny that this court can provide the required Article III courts. I have requested bona fides,
- 51 FOIA and in documents filed into these several courts. None can fulfill their own
- 52 Constitutional/statutory requirements.
- 53 16<sup>th</sup> I deny that this court provides Pro se and in propria persona receive proper practices and
- 54 procedure and the records will prove this. Due process violation, civil rights, RICO is the claim
- 55 based on our investigation on-going.

Rel

Ronald Charles Vrooman General delivery Beaverton, Oregon [97005] 503 641 8374 ronvrooman38@gmail.com

- 56
- 57 THE CLAIMS by affidavit of truth Ronald Charles Vrooman: I filed 3;17 ev 977 ac into the
- 58 court with Janett, with a witness present. The chain of events goes like this. Are you filing this
- 59 new? Yes, where is Matt? Matt is on vacation. I need to show this to someone and get assistance
- and return. She returns and says I can only accept an original. No, I keep my original and you
- get my certified copy. I need to check on that. She returns and accepts my case 3;17 cv 977 ac.
- 62 On the docket.
- 63 My server steps up and confirms; she is a deputy clerk of the court in 740 and he is serving the
- papers to her, for the court's judiciary. Janett refuses service. Sits down and crosses her arms.
- Due process violation of governing law. Next I ask for a supervisor Eric. Eric is not in. Let me
- speak to another clerk. There is no one here except me! May I have your card? I have no cards.
- 67 Please write your name. She did. I was reluctant to file a claim against Janett! As she was doing
- as she was directed. Janett asks are you going to take care of the fee today? I said, no not today.
- 69 That is one of the claims. I enter it here for practices and procedures: I know fees to me, to be
- against governing law; thus fraud, attempted fraud and more, due process violations. Every paper
- 71 I file, causes me to file two more. SWTF that is "what the fuck scientifically using a computer."
- 72 Like wag and swag. Wild ass guess and scientific wag.
- 73 Now comes claims on Eric Oss: due process violations in his previous handling of cases filed
- 74 into this case, Proof is in the record of Eric back dating, delaying, and in general not acting like
- 75 the liaison he identified himself to be. As a supervisor he could have been the person out of sight
- and sound advising Jenett. Due process violations.
- 77 Now comes claims on Mary Moran clerk of court at 740: the responsible management employee
- 78 of the DC Municipal court Inc. on Oregon, the senior most, in none judicial matters. This is not
- 79 judicial. I mailed return receipt requested a Notice and Demand long before The cowboys out in
- 80 Easter Oregon were kidnapped, murdered, incarcerate and found "NOT FUCKING GUILTY."
- 81 Mary refused to respond. Due process violation. I know Mary is receiving my documents as she
- 82 responded to a Traverse, that M. Mosman neglected. Due process violations. Mary permitted a
- 83 clerk or clerks at Anna Brown's order to remove documents filed into the record with Anna
- 84 Brown's specific authorization to me, Ronald Charles Vrooman. I have it in writing! 5
- 85 documents were filed and all were removed and that is also within the records of this court. I got
- 4 of my 5 documents back. Mutilation and yatayata on documents. I have the clerks name and all
- 87 of you acquiesced to this due process violation. Mosman and Brown are already defendants.
- 88 I now anonymously bring
- 89 4<sup>th</sup> unknown clerk (s) that the record proves did the unlawful removal of records from the case
- 90 3;16 cr 00052 br. Also included are the managerial up line within the clerks of the court such as
- 91 Eric and Mary. I deny your authority to remove documents filed into a court of record, it was
- 92 done! prove your authority! by your actions be it known

Ronald Charles Vrooman General delivery Beaverton, Oregon [97005] 503 641 8374

ronvrooman38@gmail.com

-3:17 cv 977ac

- 93 The transcript of 3;16 cr 00052 br is part of this case.
- My server and I went to the  $6^{th}$  floor to see the AG/DOJ Seth. When we arrived at the window.
- 95 Server asked, do you accept service? The receptionist, a man, said yes! pass it thru. I asked if he
- 96 would stamp my copy, of what was just served. and he said yes and stamped it.
- 97 I asked to speak to the AG Seth? Seth is out, will you speak to someone else? Yes. Shall I give
- 98 these papers to him? Yes please. Server and I sit at a round table in the lobby area. Not rented
- 99 space of DOJ. Part of the space the GSA assured me was not rented and open access to we,
- anyone of the people.
- 101 4<sup>th</sup> Quinn Harrington attorney 6<sup>th</sup> floor AG/DOJ supposedly. Quin stepped up and said, I'm
- 102 Quinn and we shook hands all around. I do criminal and this is civil and I don't accept service.
- 103 Quinn is standing there with the docs in his hand. Notice to the agent and all that jazz. This is a
- due process violation and could be known as an attempt to defraud. Intimidation! We laugh at
- Quinn. You have them in your hand. Pleasant person and we had a few minutes and began to
- 106 communicate. Quinn provided no ID when asked, not even a presentation card. I deny Quinn's
- authenticity.ID required will be as per governing law. Bar card, oath, bond, FARA certification.
- 108 Employee ID of the corporate governance Duns number identified AG/DOJ and what state was it
- incorporated in/into. Not to be confused with Federal ID number of the corporation that actually
- pays your income and how does that build toward a retirement plan. It is suspected that you folks
- are a private corporation for hire. I am finding it stupefying that none have government authority.
- 112 Clearfield Doctrine.
- 113 . Seth, I consider to be a pleasant person, considering he's a red headed Irishman attorney. ©Seth
- gets off the elevator in casual clothes with a sharp black suit on a hanger. I rag him a bit looks
- like a tux; where is the stripe down the pants?
- Seth reiterates, we see the same laws and ruling differently. I told the two of them, there is some
- criminal shit on those guys, in the records of their courts of record! So, you read what went
- down; you look at the governing law and a jury decides. I don't care what your opinion is. Say it
- in court. What makes you think you'll ever see a court?. The law, you must follow the law, no
- interpretations, that is for a jury to decide. If, I in my cv case find incontrovertible evidence of
- 121 RICO. You must prosecute a cr case. Read the law Quinn and you too Seth. No interpretation. I
- have at least 3 criminal cases in the paper in your hand. Your office is the attorney for the judges.
- They are served and under investigation. Seth said hire an attorney. I interrupted and said," fuck
- attorneys;" I don't hire attorneys. Server laughed at them. Me too. Governing law is all written
- down, I don't use attorneys. I don't gots to show you no stinking badges!.
- When I first started to file cases into this building, one of the Judges Brown and a DOJ attorney
- denied there was such a thing as Private Attorney General. Simulating a legal act, I had a
- Marshall offer to exclude me from the building at the Bundy et al. arraignment. I was not on
- rented property of the court. I was on GSA identified public not rented space. Simulating a legal

# Case 3:17-cv-00990-JCC Document 1 Filed 06/26/17 Page 5 of 10

Ronald Charles Vrooman General delivery Beaverton, Oregon [97005] 503 641 8374

ronvrooman38@gmail.com

3:17 ev 977ac

- process. A person excluded and that returns is in trespass. Due process violation and I deny the
- Marshalls have that authority without cause, to exclude me. He did threaten. The three ladies
- stopped his action immediately in our public lobby.
- 133 It is a Murphy's law!! When a pro se or in propria persona sui juris files a paper into court, the
- experience will generate two more due process violations. Practices and procedures of this court
- will verify that statement. The evidence is in your own courts records!!Due process violations. I
- deny you have the authority you claim verbally and by your actions. And you won't prove your
- 137 other claims either.
- 138 7<sup>th</sup> unidentified self proclaimed clerk of the Washington County Circuit Court, refused service by
- a process server. After she accepted service from the server with a witness. She took the served
- documents, Notice to the agent is notice to the Principal, out of our sight. She returned and said I
- refuse to stamp your copy and I refuse to accept the service; she had in her hand. Due process
- violation. I explained the rules of a clerk's duty and a servers duty and she still refused.
- 143 Two sheriff's employees arrived on our side of the glass. Corporal Wayne Mohler and Deputy
- 144 Mark Larson. They said and agreed too; she is just doing what she was told, she just works here
- and is not required to identify herself and Suzanne Upton Circuit Court Judge told her to do it.
- 146 Due process violations by Suzann already a defendant and now Wayne and Mark. As an
- investigator with a witness this appears to be fraud, attempted fraud, but defiantly enough for due
- 148 process violations. Obstructing justice anyone?
- 149 8<sup>th</sup> and 9<sup>th</sup> Neither Wayne nor Mark could identify where their authority in ORS that enabled
- 150 them to deny me my guaranteed inalienable rights. Upon leaving the document in the window
- slot where the unidentified clerk placed them. We went to Kathy Bose temp location in records
- and left a hand written note.
- 153 10<sup>th</sup> Richard Moeller Court administrator is the/a direct supervisor of the unknown clerk and is
- also responsible as the senior management employee in clerical. Due process violation by
- Richard, when he denied me access to the Washington County Grand Jury to testify, Due
- process violation! Richard! Blocked me from email communications when I refused to agree.
- 157 There is a law to deal with the situation between Richard and I. Richard chose to break the law.
- 158 11th Kingsley Klick is Richard's managerial supervisor, she was notified about Richards
- behavior and refused to communicate and used an employee to intimidate and violate due
- process. Previously Klick denied public records requests and demands. Due process violations. I
- deny Klick has the authority to act as she did.
- 162 12<sup>th</sup> see above
- 163 13<sup>th</sup> I deny that any of the persons listed in 3;17 cv 977 ac by name are bona fide! Bona fide,
- 164 certified, bonded or with risk management insurance, appointment, hired, oath taken sign sworn

Case 3:17-cv-00990-JCC Document 1 Filed 06/26/17 Page 6 of 10

Ronald Charles Vrooman General delivery Beaverton, Oregon [97005] 503 641 8374 70 ronvrooman 38@gmail.com 3:17 cv 97

- and filed; corporate governance employees, no proof has been forthcoming. Therefore, they are
- bogus until they prove different. Because patterns and practices without their BAR cards, FARA
- proof, all due process violations.
- 168 Although no USMS showed up today. I miss Brian. There are some remarkably good people
- working for the US inc as persons.
- 170 That is, what this is all about. Seth. I think you work for a bogus corporation doing business from
- 171 rented rooms in a supposedly federal building, that the title companies, two, couldn't get the
- 172 records. Sealed by court order! I specifically asked Seth to have that restriction lifted on this
- 173 property. I am also a criminal investigator, act of congress. Obstructing justice in an ongoing
- investigation. That is a claim. Number 12.

175

189

194

199 200

- 176 The Oregon Legislature has no record of this property ever being authorized for sale to the US
- inc or any corporate governance or government of the USA. It was never properly executed,
- enabled, engrossed or whatever. Neither was Mulher Refuge. PAG filed that into Bundy months
- before they were found not guilty. Brown removed it from the record and several others.
- 180 14<sup>th</sup> I deny that the Mark O. Hatfield Building at 1000 SW 3<sup>rd</sup> Ave. Portland is a lawfully, legally
- 181 constituted court as determined by the founding document circa 1819 thru 1860. Article III
- 182 15<sup>th</sup> I deny that this court can provide the required Article III courts. I have requested bona fides,
- 183 FOIA and in documents filed into these several courts. None can fulfill their Constitutional
- 184 requirements. It is claimed that The Judiciary at Portland is made up of attorneys with Federal
- Judge employment and Magistrates. I deny that they can provide the proof of claims. I believe
- some will be Administrative Law Judges and previously found to be un-Constitutional. Ongoing
- 187 investigation RICO is suspected.
- 188 . I think fraud is involved. Definitely those 8 judges.
- 190 The GSA on 1000 sw 3rd; provided plots, takings documentation, no title and no deed. Just a
- 191 statement that the title is held in by UNITED STATES OF AMERICA. NO DEED. The
- 192 Courthouse will not answer the demands for FOIA on the building and dirt... No more
- documents on the Hatfield Building. 2nd requests all around.
- Documents sent off, 2 weeks ago, to DC. To the correct person by name. he's the boss! Of the
- 196 clerk of the court... Mary, at Portland, will not do her job. Her supervisor, Eric, posts dates and
- looses paper for weeks. That a judge, Brown, told a clerk to remove documents filed into Bundy
- et al. and the clerk did it. That is all in this case. 3;17 cv 799 ac.

Seth, you're at DOJ, all are under investigation! as is the judiciary, USMS and the clerks of this

Case 3:17-cv-00990-JCC Document 1 Filed 06/26/17 Page 7 of 10 Ronald Charles Vrooman General delivery Beaverton, Oregon [97005] 503 641 8374 ronvrooman38@gmail.com 3:17 ev 977 ac court. Maybe the AG/DOJ as in denial of Private Attorney General. I'm demanding a pre-trial conference. In past filings, no one rebutted ORCP 20 A It stands. As does Full faith and credit, you know where I lifted that? Note: Over a year ago I called and got all of the documentation on the federal court house, the VAMC and the Beaverton Municipal court. The title company just emailed within minutes. I already have all the documents I'm asking for. Now the court has sealed the records. The newly requested from Title, on VAMC records, are suddenly unavailable. Interesting. But, is it criminal? Under investigation. The guys/girls at the customer service title desk; over several calls over many months... I was a mortgage broker at one time. Title-was real helpful to Ron; the last call netted "records are sealed by a court order." However, there are six different plots of land under that building. all of those six plots have different addresses and 6 owners and all of the mail taxes and such goes to 6 different addresses. It is like the building is not part of the land and is treated as private property. On the land of 6 different deeds. I have a copy of the takings. Never recorded, deeds are sealed with alt state at dreggen with let county & Workington Eag ned mid Eween before my Information Under planship of perfora 26 Charles Vitaonia) -Handwritten Jurat signed and sworn here! Then delivered to each new defendant as service OFFICIAL SEAL **MELISSA MAE STUDINGER** NOTARY PUBLIC - OREGON COMMISSION NO. 941448 MY COMMISSION EXPIRES AUG. 16, 2019 State of OK otani Public -

224

225

226

202

203

204

205

206 207

208 209

210

211

212 213

214

215

216

217

218

219

220

221

222

223

From here on is original filing

Suing a Judge:

The case of Ulrich v. Butler case # 09-7660, was a civil case attempting to hold the Court to 227

limits as defined by Constitutional and Statutory Law. Here is the US Supreme Court decision. 228

229 Here are the details; fasten your seat belt:

230 In the Eleventh Judicial District of Illinois, Woodford County, in a civil case, an individuals civil

and constitutional rights were denied as the third judge in the case (first two Judges were 231

Ronald Charles Vrooman General delivery Beaverton, Oregon [97005] 503 641 8374 ronvrooman38@gmail.com 3:17 cv 977ac 232 recused after review by the Judicial Review Board) did deny multiple requests to have all 233 proceedings recorded, did state that no motions or petitions as filed by the individual would be 234 heard, did illegally incarcerate the individual without regard to Habeas Corpus, did knowingly 235 ignore Illinois state statutes, and not only violated due process of Law, but denied equal 236 protection under the law. 237 Failure to obtain substantial Justice in state courts lead to suits being filed in Federal Court under 238 Title 42 United States Code standard 1983. This suit asks for Relief of all orders made in 239 violation of the Law, that Due Process of Law be allowed, and further issue relief as the court 240 deems appropriate. 241 Case Law also states that when a judge acts as a trespasser of the law, when a judge does not 242 follow the law, he then loses subject matter jurisdiction and the Judges orders are void, of no 243 legal force or affect. 244 If an inferior judge acts maliciously or corruptly he may incur liability. 245 Kalb v. Luce, 291 N.W. 841, 234, WISC 509. 246 http://caught.net/prose/suejud.htm 247 Notice 248 249 The records of all cases listed; mine, one additional are now filed into this case as evidence and 250 each court is required to provide their certified transcript and copies of all documents filed into 251 the cases. Thus discovery is delivered. I have my filed stamped copies to compare. 252 ORCP20A; Clearfield Doctrine; Accardi Doctrine; ex Parte Young; ex parte Milligan are all 253 specifically called into this case. They are all governing law. 254 Claim: These courts on their records have denied me remedy by due process violations and 255 failure to abide by governing law. 256 Claim: File on demand, as there is no fee for a man to obtain justice in a court. This has been 257 denied and cases dismissed because I refused to be denied access to governing law. This fee has 258 been collected and will not be reimbursed or refunded. 259 Claim: Each court listed has been challenged to prove their jurisdiction, in writing, into the 260 record and not one has followed governing law or defaulted, legal fictions, color of law. They

have jurisdiction over the corporate governance, US citizens and attorneys but not me until

proven. This is a violation of governing law.

261

262

Ronald Charles Vrooman General delivery Beaverton, Oregon [97005] 503 641 8374

ronvrooman38@gmail.com

3;17 cv 977ac

- 263 Claim: A right cannot be converted to a privilege to my detriment; this has been done to me. This
- is a violation of governing law.
- 265 This is where many start quoting case law. As I have quoted case law in several cases, many if
- 266 not all, and it has been ignored. I will wait to quote more case law. Look it up Yourself. This is
- sufficient to start this case, I need only one claim.
- 268 Each person listed has many claims against them and to list them all here is a waste, as the
- 269 transcripts and records filed into each case will with incontrovertible facts be the evidence for
- 270 this case. Read the case, read the governing law, it is obvious to the jury. I am guaranteed Article
- 271 III, 11<sup>th</sup> and VII amendment court.
- I do legal not lawful and this is my best effort. If my work is deficient please notify
- 273 me. My status is filed as fact: The right to expatriate is among those we all have. I have
- done so and filed it into the public record with city of Beaverton, and as a paper into the
- 275 Beaverton Municipal Court in UC 7945181, and Federal District Court. It is not challenged, so it
- stands as fact. I am an American state national, a non US citizen; I am on Oregon; I am the
- 277 trustee of a PMA in the name RONALD CHARLES VROOMAN; I am a flesh and blood man
- 278 without mala in se crime; I am not in commerce with the state of Oregon; I am without the state
- of Oregon; within the united States of America. My status is defined and acknowledged in the
- 280 public record and two courts of recorded, un-rebutted and stands as fact. Therefore, are we
- dealing with governing law?? Please remember I am not subject to your rules, codes,
- and statutes. You are, as determined by SCOTUS, that's governing law.
- 283 Each court and person listed has 21 days from service date to answer the claims and provide
- 284 notice of intent to defend or default or be in default. This court has 90 days to start the trial, 21
- 285 days to prove jurisdiction or default with the same penalties.
- 286 The courts have jurisdiction over the corporate governance entities, inferior courts and BAR
- 287 attorneys and US citizens that don't know better. But not me the flesh and blood man on Oregon,
- 288 until proof of jurisdiction is filed in writing into the record of the court in each case, including
- this one. Therefore, they can comply; all except me are persons of the corporate governance...
- 290 A default is acquiescence to the charges and proof of desire to settle by finding against my
- 291 convictions in both D144354M and UC 7945181. This filed document and a calendar are
- 292 sufficient demand and to obtain from this or any federal district court an order to dismiss the
- 293 convictions forever, to be so ordered by this or any federal court within 10 days from default date
- and to be enforced by the United States Marshal Service within 20 days from default date...
- 295 A default is also sufficient proof to demand that 18 USC 3571 due process has been violated and
- a penalty of 250,000.00 dollars in silver or the exchange rate in Federal Reserve Notes on the
- 297 default date. To be paid to Ronald Charles Vrooman by each court and person in default or their

# Case 3:17-cv-00990-JCC Document 1 Filed 06/26/17 Page 10 of 10

bond or risk management insurance within 10 days of receiving the order from this court or be in contempt of this or any federal court and prosecuted within 10 days... To be so ordered by this court within 10 days from default date and to be enforced by the United States Marshal Service within 20 days from default date or be in contempt of this court and prosecuted within 10 days...

Without equivocation, mental reservation or secret evasion is required of all named here and acting in this matter. No color of law or legal fiction.

Ronald Charles Vrooman General delivery Beaverton, Oregon [97005] 503 641 8374